

REMARKS

Applicant respectfully submits that the present application, as amended, is in condition for allowance.

Claims 14-17, and 19-25 are now present in this application, of which claims 14 and 25 are independent. By this amendment, claims 14 and 25 have been amended.

Reconsideration of this application, as amended, is respectfully requested.

Examiner Interview

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the courtesies extended to Applicant's representative during the personal interview, which was conducted on January 7, 2011. An Examiner Interview Summary was made of record as Paper No. 20110107. During the interview, Applicant's representative discussed the Amendment filed on November 8, 2010 and the arguments therein.

Applicant's representative noted that Garland fails to teach the claimed second and third rotational shafts because the sheets 11 and 12 are dispensed from the bottom of the bandage dispenser, as clearly shown in Fig. 1 of Garland. See also col. 3, lines 13-15.

In addition, based on the discussions with the Examiner, Applicant's representative discussed amending independent claim 14 to separately recite the claimed tape roll with a plurality of tapes located between two sheets, to recite that at least part of the tape cassette driver be located outside of the tape cassette, and that at least part of the tape cassette driver extend through the tape cassette. Support for these amendments can be found in at least Figs. 5 and 10 and corresponding descriptions in the specification. Agreement was reached that such limitations would define over the current rejections.

Applicant's representative also discussed amending independent claim 25 to recite the claimed tape roll with a plurality of tapes located between two sheets and to recite that the box was insertable and removable from the tape cassette. Support for these amendments can be found in at least Figs. 5, 8, and 9 and corresponding description in the specification. Agreement was reached that such limitations would define over the current rejections.

The claims have been amended in the manner discussed during the interview, and are believed to place the application into condition for allowance. Accordingly, reconsideration and allowance of the present application are respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

All of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicant therefore respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider all presently outstanding rejections and that they be withdrawn. It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action, and as such, the present application is in condition for allowance.


In view of the above amendment, Applicant believes the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Chad D. Wells, Registration No. 50,875, at the telephone number of the undersigned below to conduct an interview in an effort to expedite prosecution in connection with the present application.

If necessary, the Director is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies to charge any fees required during the pendency of the above-identified application or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448.

Dated: FEB 22 2011

Respectfully submitted,

By 
James T. Eller, Jr. *for*

Registration No.: 39538
BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP
8110 Gatehouse Road, Suite 100 East
P.O. Box 747
Falls Church, VA 22040-0747
703-205-8000